US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

7 Day

Data Evaluation Report on the acute toxicity of Mesosulfuron-methyl metabolite (AE F147447) to aquatic vascular plants Lemna gibba PMRA Submission #: [:.....] EPA MRID#: 45386313 Data Requirement: PMRA Data Code: *{.....}* EPA DP Barcode: D284719 OECD Data Point: *{.....*} EPA MRID: 45386313 EPA Guideline: 123-2 Test material: AEF147447 Purity: 93.1% Common name: Mesosulfuron-methyl metabolite IUPAC: 6-methan esul fon a mid omethyl-1, 2-benzisothiazol-3 (2H)-one1, 1-dioxideChemical name: CAS name: Not reported CAS No.: Not reported Synonyms: Not reported Primary Reviewer: Rebecca Bryan Staff Scientist, Dynamac Corporation QC Reviewer: Teri Myers, Ph.D. Staff Scientist, Dynamac Corporation Primary Reviewer: Tim Bargar {EPA/OECD/PMRA} Secondary Reviewer(s): {..... Date: {.....} {EPA/OECD/PMRA} Company Code {......} [For PMRA] **Active Code }.....** [For PMRA] **EPA PC Code** 122009 Date Evaluation Completed: {dd-mmm-yyyy} CITATION: Sowig, P. and Weller, O. 2000. Duckweed (Lemna gibba G3) Growth Inhibition Test, AE F147447,

CITATION: Sowig, P. and Weller, O. 2000. Duckweed (Lemna gibba G3) Growth Inhibition Test, AE F147447, substance, pure, (Metabolite of AE F130060). Unpublished study performed by Aventis CropScience GmbH, Frankfort, Germany. Laboratory Study Identification No. CE00/057. Study submitted by Aventis CropScience, Research Triangle Park, NC. Experimental start date July 28, 2000 and experimental termination date August 4, 2000. The final report issued September 29, 2000.

PMRA Submission #: {......

EPA MRID#: 45386313

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

In a 7-day acute toxicity study, freshwater floating aquatic vascular plants Duckweed, Lemna gibba G3, were exposed to Mesosulfuron-methyl metabolite (AEF147447) at mean measured concentrations of 9.1, 16.3, 28.9, 50.2. and 90.9 mg/L under static-renewal conditions. Nominal concentrations were 10, 18, 32, 56, and 100 mg/L. Mean percent inhibition was -2, 0, -1, 1, and 0.4% in the 9.1, 16.3, 28.9, 50.2, and 90.9 mg/L treatment groups, respectively. By day 7, the mean dry weights were 25.9, 27.7, 26.9, 26.9, 27.4, and 25.5 mg in the 9.1, 16.3, 28.9, 50.2, and 90.9 mg/L treatment groups, respectively. No treatment groups were significantly different from the dilution water control based on mean frond number, frond number growth rate, or biomass. No signs of intoxication were observed in the control or treatment groups. The NOEC was 90.9 mg/L, the highest concentration tested. The EC₅₀ was estimated as >90.9 mg/L.

This toxicity study is scientifically sound and satisfies the U.S. EPA Guideline Subdivision J, §123-2 for an aquatic vascular plant study with Lemna gibba. As a result, this study is classified as Core.

Results Synopsis

Test Organism: Lemna gibba G3 Test Type: Static-renewal

Number of fronds:

NOEC: 90.9 mg/L

 EC_{05} : >90.9 mg/L 95% C.I.: N/A EC_{50} : >90.9 mg/L 95% C.I.: N/A

Slope: N/A

Dry Weight:

NOEC: 90.9 mg/L

EC₀₅: >90.9 mg/L 95% C.I.: N/A EC_{50} : >90.9 mg/L 95% C.I.: N/A

Slope: N/A

Growth Rate:

NOEC: 90.9 mg/L

 EC_{05} : >90.9 mg/L 95% C.I.: N/A EC_{50} : >90.9 mg/L 95% C.I.: N/A

Slope: N/A

Endpoint(s) Affected: None

PMRA Submission #: [.....]

EPA MRID#: 45386313

I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

GUIDELINE FOLLOWED: The test was based on the following guidelines: OECD Guideline no. 201, US-

EPA Subdivision J, §123-2, and American Society for Testing and Materials Guide E 1415-91. The following deviations from U.S. EPA Guideline 123-2 are

noted:

- 1. The pretest health of the test organism was not reported.
- 2. The number of plants tested (4 plants) was less than the 5 plants that is recommended; therefore, there were 12 fronds per replicate, instead of the 15 fronds per replicate that is recommended.
- 3. The storage conditions of the test chemical, carbon source of the growth medium, and some dilution water characteristics were not reported.

These deviations did not affect the acceptability or the validity of the study.

COMPLIANCE:

Signed and dated GLP, Quality Assurance and No Data Confidentiality statements

were provided.

A. MATERIALS:

1. Test Material

Mesosulfuron-methyl metabolite (AE F147447)

Description:

Light yellow powder

Lot No./Batch No.: AE F147447 00 1C93 0001

Purity:

93.1%

Stability of Compound

Under Test Conditions: Measured concentrations (days 0, 3, and 5) for new test solutions ranged from 91.6 to 103.9 % of nominal concentrations and measured concentrations (days 3, 5, and 7) of old test concentrations ranged from 93.2 to 101.1% of nominal concentrations, showing that the test material was stable under test conditions. OECD requirements were not reported.

(OECD requires water solubility, stability in water and light, pKa, Pow, vapor pressure of test compound)

Storage conditions of test chemicals: Not reported.

2. Test organism:

Name: Duckweed, Lemna gibba

EPA requires a vascular species: Lemna gibba.

Strain, if provided: G3

Source: Plant Hormone Laboratory, USDA, Beltsville, MD

Age of inoculum: 6 weeks

Method of cultivation: 20X AAP culture medium

EPA MRID#: 45386313

B. STUDY DESIGN:

- a) Range-finding Study: No range-finding study was reported.
- b) Definitive Study

Table 1 . Experimental Parameters

Parameter	Details	Remarks Criteria	
Acclimation period: culturing media and conditions: (same as test or not)	Approximately 6 weeks 20X AAP medium; same as test.		
health: (any toxicity observed)	Not reported.		
Test system static/static renewal/ renewal rate for static renewal:	Static-renewal	EPA expects the test concentrations to be renewed every 3 to 4 days (one renewal for the 7 day test, 3-4 renewals for the 14 day test).	
Incubation facility	Environmental chamber-water bath		
Duration of the test	7 days	EPA requires a duration of 14 days. Seven day studies will be accepted for review by the Agency.	
Test vessel naterial: (glass/polystyrene) ize: ill volume:	Glass Erlenmeyer-flasks 300 mL 150 mL	prosiper retter by the Agency.	
Details of growth medium ame:	20X AAP medium		
H at test initiation: H at test termination: helator used: arbon source:		EPA recommend the following culture media: Modified hoagland's E+ or 20X-AAP.	
non-standard nutrient medium was sed. detailed composition provided /es/No)	Not applicable		

Parameter	Details	Remarks	
		Criteria	
Dilution water source/type: pH: water pretreatment (if any):	Reagent grade water 7.5±0.1 Deionized water which is additionally filtered by an ultrafiltration, ion exchange and	EPA recommends a pH of ~5.0. A solution pH of 7.5 is acceptable if type 20X-AAP nutrient media is	
Total Organic Carbon: particulate matter: metals: pesticides: chlorine:	a charcoal unit. Not reported Not reported Not reported Not reported Not reported Not reported	used.	
Indicate how the test material is added to the medium (added directly or used stock solution)	Stock solution		
Aeration or agitation	Not reported		
Sediment used (for rooted aquatic vascular plants) origin: textural classification (% sand, silt and clay): organic carbon (%): geographic location:	Not applicable		
Number of replicates control: colvent control: reatments:	3 N/A 3		
Number of plants/replicate	4 plants per replicate	The number of plants tested (4 plants) were less than the required 5 plants. EPA requires 5 plants.	
umber of fronds/plant	12 fronds per replicate at test initiation	There were probably three fronds per plant. EPA requires 3 fronds per plant.	

EPA MRID#: 45386313

Parameter	Details	Remarks	
		Criteria	
Test concentrations nominal: measured:	10, 18, 32, 56, and 100 mg/L 9.1, 16.3, 28.9, 50.2, and 91.0 mg/L	Mean measured concentrations were reviewer-calculated from mean fresh water and mean aged water values.	
		EPA requires at least 5 test concentrations with a dose range of 2X or 3X progression.	
Solvent (type, percentage, if used)	N/A		
Method and interval of analytical verification	HPLC; new test solutions at 0, 3, and 5 days and old test solutions at 3, 5 and 7 days.		
Test conditions temperature:	23.5-24.5°C		
photoperiod:	continuous light	EPA temperature: 25°C EPA photoperiod: continuous	
ight intensity and quality:	102-108 μE*m ⁻² *s ⁻¹ , white fluorescent lighting	EPA light: 5.0 Klux (±15%)	
Reference chemical (if used) ame: oncentrations:	None		
Other parameters, if any	None		

2. Observations:

Table 2: Observation parameters

Parameters	Details	Remarks/Criteria	
Parameters measured (eg: number of fronds, plant dry weight or other toxicity symptoms)	Number of fronds, dry weights, growth rates, and toxicity symptoms.	Actival RS Criteria	
Measurement technique for frond number and other end points	Direct counts		
Observation intervals	3, 5, and 7 days.		
Other observations, if any	None		

Data Evaluation Report on the acute toxicity of Mesosulfuron-methyl metabolite (AE F147447) to aquatic vascular plants Lemna gibba

PMRA Submission #: {	
Title I Submission #.)	EDA MOTO#, 4520/212
	EPA_MRID#: 45386313

Indicate whether there was exponential growth in the control	Yes, average frond number at day 7 was 13x greater than average frond number at day 0.	
Were raw data included?	Replicate data provided	

II. RESULTS and DISCUSSION:

A. INHIBITORY EFFECTS:

 $Mean percent inhibition was -2, 0, -1, 1, and 0.4\% in the 9.1, 16.3, 28.9, 50.2, and 90.9 \,mg/L \,treatment groups, respectively.$ By day 7, the mean dry weights were 25.9, 27.7, 26.9, 26.9, 27.4, and 25.5 mg in the 9.1, 16.3, 28.9, 50.2, and 90.9 mg/Ltreatment groups, respectively. No treatment groups were significantly different from the dilution water control based on mean frond number, frond number growth rate, or biomass.

 $The \,mean \,doubling \,times \,were \,1.88, \,1.86, \,1.88, \,1.87, \,1.89, \,and \,1.88 \,days \,in \,the \,9.1, \,16.3, \,28.9, \,50.2, \,and \,90.9 \,mg/L \,treatment$ groups, respectively. The mean increase in biomass was 24.1, 25.9, 25.1, 25.1, 25.6, and 23.7 in the 9.1, 16.3, 28.9, 50.2, and 90.9 mg/L treatment groups, respectively.

No signs of intoxication were observed in the control or treatment groups.

Table 3: Effect of Mesosulfuron-methyl metabolite (AEF147447) on frond number of Duckweed, Lemna gibba

Treatment¹ Initial from (estimated number/tes measured and nominal concentration) mg/L	number/test		Mean fr	ond numb	Mean Growth	Mean Dry Weight of	
		3 days	5 days	7 days	% inhibition at 7 days ³	Rate at Day 7	Fronds (biomass) (mg) ²
Negative control (dilution water)	12	39	89	159		0.369	25.9
9.1 (10)	12	38	94	163	-2	0.372	27.7
16.3 (18)	12	38	90	159	0	0.369	26.9
28.9 (32)	12	37	88	161	-1	0.371	
50.2 (56)	12	37	94	157	1	0.367	26.9
90.9 (100)	12	39	86	158	0.4		27.4
Reference chemical (if used)	Not applicable			150	0.4	0.368	25.5

Mean measured concentrations of Mesosulfuron-methyl metabolite were reviewer-calculated. Nominal

² Mean frond number and dry weights were reviewer-calculated from replicate data.

³% inhibition was determined by comparing the treatment groups to the dilution water control.

^{*} Significantly different from dilution water control.

Data Evaluation Report on the acute toxicity of Mesosulfuron-methyl metabolite (AE F147447) to aquatic vascular plants Lemna gibba

PMRA Submission #: }.....

EPA MRID#: 45386313

Table 4: Statistical endpoint values.

Statistical Endpoint ^a	frond No.	growth rate	dry weight (biomass)
NOEC or EC ₀₅ (mg/L)	100	100	100
LOEC (mg/L)	>100	>100	>100
IC ₅₀ or EC ₅₀ (mg/L) (95% C.I.)	>100	>100	>100
other (IC ₂₅ /EC ₂₅)	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Reference chemical NOAEC IC _{so} /EC _{so}	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not reported

^a Statistical data based on nominal test concentrations.

B. REPORTED STATISTICS: The formulas used for growth rates, doubling time, and mean percent inhibitions are reported on pages 18 and 19. The NOEC was verified using Analysis of Variance, General Linear Models with DUNCAN's Multiple Range Test Procedures (SAS 1989). The EC₅₀ values were determined using binomial probability.

Biomass:

NOEC: 90.9 mg/L

 EC_{50} : >90.9 mg/L

95% C.I.: N/A

Slope: N/A

Growth Rate:

NOEC: 90.9 mg/L

 EC_{50} : >90.9 mg/L

95% C.I.: N/A

Slope: N/A

Endpoint(s) Affected: None

C. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:

Statistical Method: No statistical analysis was conducted. It could be visually determined that AE F147447 did not affect duckweed growth. Reductions in growth parameters in this study did not exceed 5%, so the NOEC, EC_{05} , and EC_{50} values could be visually determined.

Number of fronds:

NOEC: 90.9 mg/L

 EC_{05} : >90.9 mg/L

95% C.I.: N/A

EC₅₀: >90.9 mg/L

95% C.I.: N/A

Slope: N/A

Dry Weight:

Data Evaluation Report on the acute toxicity of Mesosulfuron-methyl metabolite (AE F147447) to aquatic vascular plants Lemna gibba

PMRA Submission #: !.....

EPA MRID#: 45386313

NOEC: 90.9 mg/L

 EC_{05} : >90.9 mg/L

95% C.I.: N/A

EC₅₀: >90.9 mg/L

95% C.I.: N/A

Slope: N/A

Growth Rate:

NOEC: 90.9 mg/L

EC₀₅: >90.9 mg/L

95% C.I.: N/A

EC₅₀: >90.9 mg/L

95% C.I.: N/A

Slope: N/A

Endpoint(s) Affected: None

D. STUDY DEFICIENCIES:

The deviations, including the reduced replicate size, were not considered to have impacted the study results, so they did not affect the acceptability or validity of the study.

E. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:

The reviewer's conclusions were identical to the study authors'. There was no effect of AE F147447 on growth of Lemna gibba.

F. CONCLUSIONS: This toxicity study is scientifically sound and satisfies the U.S. EPA Guideline Subdivision J, §123-2 for an aquatic vascular plant study with Lemna gibba. As a result, this study is classified as Core.

Number of fronds:

NOEC: 90.9 mg/L

 EC_{05} : >90.9 mg/L

95% C.I.: N/A

EC₅₀: >90.9 mg/L

95% C.I.: N/A

Slope: N/A

Dry Weight:

NOEC: 90.9 mg/L

EC₀₅: >90.9 mg/L

95% C.I.: N/A

EC₅₀: >90.9 mg/L

95% C.I.: N/A

Slope: N/A

Growth Rate:

NOEC: 90.9 mg/L

 EC_{05} : >90.9 mg/L

95% C.I.: N/A

EC₅₀: >90.9 mg/L

95% C.I.: N/A

Slope: N/A

Endpoint(s) Affected: None

III. REFERENCES:

- Organization of Economic Co-operation and Development, Draft OECD Guideline for Testing of Chemicals Guideline: Lemna, Growth Inhibition Test, April 1997.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1982 Pesticide Assessment Guidelines, Subdivision J, Hazard Evaluation: Nontarget Plants: Tier 2 of nontarget area testing; §123-2 Growth and reproduction of aquatic plants.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), April 1996, Ecological Effects Test Guidelines; OPPTS 850.4400 Aquatic Plant Toxicity Test Using Lemna spp., Tiers I and II; EPA 712-C-96-156, Public Draft.
- ASTM (1991). Standard Guide for Conducting Static Toxicity Test With Lemna gibba G3. American Society for Testing and Materials. E 1415-91
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1983. Toxic Substances Control; Good Laboratory Practice Standards; Final Rule (40 CFR Part 792) Fed. Reg., Vol. 48, No. 230, Nov. 23, 1983, pp. 53922-53944.
- SAS Institute Inc., 1989-1996, Release 6.12 TS Level 0060 SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina 27511.

Page is not included in this copy.
Pages \(\tag{\tag{harmonia}} \) through \(\frac{10}{20} \) are not included in this copy.
The material not included contains the following type of information:
Identity of product inert ingredients.
Identity of product impurities.
Description of the product manufacturing process.
Description of quality control procedures.
Identity of the source of product ingredients.
Sales or other commercial/financial information.
A draft product label.
The product confidential statement of formula.
Information about a pending registration action.
FIFRA registration data.
The document is a duplicate of page(s)
The document is not responsive to the request.
The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.